

Integrating Stakeholder Engagement for Resource Management in the Food-Energy-Water Nexus of Phoenix AMA

1 ABSTRACT

This study explores the complex interplay of the Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus within the Phoenix Active Management Area (AMA), utilizing a series of stakeholder workshops conducted from 2017 to 2022. It employs the Engage INFEWS Conceptual Framework to guide its research methodology, emphasizing participatory stakeholder engagement to address the challenges of sustainable urban resource management. The theoretical framework, Engage INFEWS, is instrumental in this research, advocating for a comprehensive approach that integrates community and stakeholder input across all stages of the research process. This framework promotes collaborative dynamics, situational awareness, and shared ownership among diverse groups, ensuring that the strategies developed are both inclusive and grounded in empirical evidence.

Research objectives focus on evaluating and enhancing resource management strategies within the FEW nexus by assessing the effectiveness of stakeholder workshops and synthesizing insights to improve resource governance. The study aims to understand how stakeholder engagement influences decision-making processes and contributes to the development of integrated, sustainable management practices. In terms of methodology, the study employs a robust, participatory approach that combines qualitative and quantitative methods to capture a broad spectrum of insights. This involves a nonprobability sampling strategy to gather a diverse group of participants from university research centers, climate change-focused conferences, and workshops on the water-energy nexus. Data collection encompasses workshops and interviews, providing a dynamic and immersive environment for stakeholders to discuss and influence FEW nexus governance.

The Phoenix AMA serves as a critical study context, presenting unique challenges and opportunities due to its dependence on external water sources like the Colorado River and the Central Arizona Project (CAP). This urban setting, characterized by rapid population growth and significant environmental constraints, offers a pertinent example of the complexities involved in managing the FEW nexus in arid regions.

Technological advancements, economic factors, and policy dynamics are thoroughly examined through the workshops, highlighting the need for substantial investment in renewable energy, adaptive policies, and efficient agricultural practices. The study also addresses the economic implications of land use decisions and the integration of innovative technologies that impact resource efficiency. The iterative development of an integrated model facilitated by stakeholder feedback underscores the study's commitment to creating practical, user-friendly tools that can adapt to changing conditions and incorporate diverse perspectives.

In conclusion, the research not only deepens the understanding of the FEW nexus within Phoenix AMA but also contributes to broader sustainable resource management discourse. The findings from this study are poised to influence future policy formulations, technological advancements, and resource management practices, offering valuable insights for other urban areas facing similar challenges. The Engage INFEWS Conceptual Framework has proven effective in fostering meaningful stakeholder engagement and enhancing the sustainability of resource management practices, both locally and globally.

1.1 Keywords

Stakeholder Engagement, Food-Energy-Water Nexus, Resource Management, Sustainable Participatory Modeling, and Phoenix AMA

1 INTRODUCTION

In the realm of sustainable development, the intricate interplay between food, energy, and water systems—collectively known as the Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus—has emerged as a critical area of study. This nexus represents a complex web of interactions that is increasingly recognized as pivotal to addressing global challenges such as climate change, resource scarcity, and urbanization (Schulterbrandt Gragg et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). Within this context, the concept of spatial justice becomes a crucial lens through which we can understand and address the distribution of resources and opportunities (Allouche et al., 2019; Cansino-Loeza et al., 2022). Spatial justice, as a key component in the FEW nexus, particularly in urban settings like the Phoenix Active Management Area (AMA), emphasizes the equitable distribution of resources and services across different geographical areas. It seeks to rectify the imbalances that lead to disparities in access to food, energy, and water resources (White et al., 2017). In urban environments, these disparities are often stark, with certain areas suffering from resource scarcity while others enjoy abundance. This uneven distribution can lead to significant inequities in quality of life, health outcomes, and economic opportunities.

The Phoenix AMA, characterized by its water stress (Bolin et al., 2010), and diverse policy landscape (Jacobs & Holway, 2004), provides a compelling case study for examining these interactions. As a microcosm of broader global trends, the challenges faced by the Phoenix AMA in balancing the FEW nexus offer valuable insights into sustainable urban resource management under conditions of uncertainty and change (K. Larson et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). The spatial distribution of resources in this area is a critical factor that influences access and equity, making the concept of spatial justice particularly relevant.

In this research, a multidisciplinary group including researchers from different fields at Arizona State University developed an anticipatory modeling framework that integrates participatory stakeholder engagement for informed decision-making within the FEW nexus (White et al., 2017). By uniting stakeholders from diverse sectors and governance levels, this study emphasizes the process of stakeholder engagement, focusing on the insights gained and the lessons learned during this process, with a particular emphasis on spatial justice. The engagement of stakeholders is not merely a consultative process but a fundamental component of model development, ensuring that the framework is grounded in the realities of those it affects and serves.

Key to this approach is the recognition that sustainable management of the FEW nexus requires not just technological solutions but also an understanding of the socio-economic and political dimensions. This paper, therefore, explores how interdisciplinary collaboration and stakeholder participation can enhance the resilience and sustainability of FEW systems. The overarching goal is to provide a replicable model for similar regions facing interconnected FEW system challenges, thereby contributing to the broader discourse on sustainable resource management, with a specific focus on spatial justice, equity, and inclusion by design.

1.1 Literature Review

In the exploration of the Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus, a rich body of interdisciplinary literature underscores the importance of an integrated approach to managing these interconnected resources, which are fundamental to sustainable urban development. Foundational work by Bazilian et al. (2011) and Rasul & Sharma (2016) emphasizes the intrinsic linkages between energy, water, and food systems, illustrating that effective management of one resource invariably impacts the others. These interdependencies are crucial, especially in urban settings like the Phoenix Active Management Area (AMA), where the demands for these resources are intensifying due to population growth and economic development. Scholarship in this field has advanced our understanding of how nexus thinking can address the challenges posed by climate change, urbanization, and resource scarcity (Schulterbrandt Gragg et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). The integrated management of the FEW nexus is increasingly recognized as pivotal to achieving sustainability objectives, with studies highlighting the potential for synergies that enhance resource use efficiency and reduce environmental impacts (Botai et al., 2021; Olawuyi, 2020). This body of research advocates for holistic strategies that consider the complex interplays and potential trade-offs between the consumption and conservation of each resource.

The literature also explores the role of technological and policy innovations in sustaining the FEW nexus. Significant attention is given to the development and implementation of technologies that can enhance resource efficiency—such as advanced water recycling systems, renewable energy technologies, and precision agriculture (Mounir et al., 2019; Guan, 2022). Policy frameworks that support such innovations are critical, as they help shape the ways in which resources are managed and allocated (Jacobs

& Holway, 2004; Larson et al., 2015). Furthermore, the adaptive governance models proposed by authors like Pahl-Wostl (2019) and Urbinatti et al. (2020) emphasize the necessity of flexible policy mechanisms that can respond to dynamic environmental and social conditions. Moreover, the literature highlights the importance of participatory stakeholder engagement in managing the FEW nexus effectively. The works of Reed et al. (2009) and Voinov et al. (2016) discuss how engaging diverse stakeholders not only enriches the decision-making process by incorporating a wide range of perspectives but also enhances the legitimacy and acceptance of management strategies. This approach ensures that the needs and preferences of all community segments, including marginalized groups, are considered in the governance of nexus resources (Ansell & Gash, 2007; Melloni et al., 2020).

However, despite extensive theoretical and empirical contributions, gaps remain in our understanding of how to effectively implement integrated nexus approaches in diverse urban settings. Studies often call for more empirical research to assess the practical outcomes of nexus governance models and their adaptability to different socio-political contexts (Kotir et al., 2017; Basco-Carrera et al., 2018). The integration of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and machine learning in anticipatory modeling is seen as a promising area for future research, potentially enhancing the adaptability and precision of governance models (Dilling & Lemos, 2011; Butler & Adamowski, 2015). In summary, the literature on the FEW nexus provides a solid foundation for understanding the complexities of managing interconnected resources in urban environments. It underscores the importance of integrated approaches that leverage technological innovation and participatory governance, while also highlighting the need for further empirical studies to explore the efficacy of these strategies in diverse settings. This review sets the stage for the current study's exploration of nexus management in Phoenix AMA, aiming to contribute practical insights and strategies that address the specific challenges of this urban area.

1.2 Theoretical Framework

The Engage INFEWS Conceptual Framework, as developed by Kliskey et al. (2021), provides an advanced methodological approach to incorporating community and stakeholder perspectives in Food-Energy-Water Systems (FEWS) research. This comprehensive framework includes ten interconnected components that span engagement, technical analysis, and monitoring, facilitating a deeply integrated approach to studying the FEW nexus. At the heart of this framework is the commitment to situational awareness, which cultivates a respectful and inclusive environment among all stakeholders. Emphasizing the importance of early and sustained interaction, the framework promotes collaborative dynamics and shared ownership among diverse groups, from policymakers to community members. This aspect is crucial for addressing the complex interdependencies that characterize the management of food, energy, and water resources, particularly in densely populated and diverse urban areas like the Phoenix Active Management Area (AMA).

The framework also prioritizes clear, ongoing communication, blending community insights with scientific research to ensure that the strategies developed are both practical and grounded in empirical evidence. This is complemented by a reflective monitoring process, allowing for continual refinement of strategies based on stakeholder feedback and evolving environmental conditions. Unique in its dual focus, the framework evaluates both the processes and outcomes of research initiatives. It aims not just for scientific rigor but also for enhancing community engagement and achieving broader social benefits. This dual focus is intended to ensure comprehensive involvement in FEWS research, improving the legitimacy and sustainability of the strategies formulated. In its updated form, the Engage INFEWS Framework shifts its emphasis towards a more inclusive and integrated management of the FEW nexus. This broader approach facilitates extensive stakeholder engagement, extending beyond marginalized groups to include all sectors that impact or are impacted by nexus dynamics. It encourages community empowerment through local initiatives and shared management responsibilities, making sure local preferences and knowledge significantly shape FEWS research.

Additionally, the framework evaluates the efficacy of its engagement processes and the outcomes they produce, with the aim of refining resource management practices to foster sustainability, equity, and effectiveness. This enhanced framework thus serves as a detailed guide for integrating comprehensive stakeholder and community engagement into FEWS research, reinforcing a commitment to managing the interconnected challenges of food, energy, and water in a cohesive and adaptable manner. By implementing this framework in the Phoenix AMA, this study seeks to develop practical strategies that improve water resource management within the FEW nexus, contributing valuable insights to the discourse

on sustainable urban resource management and providing adaptable models for other urban regions confronting similar challenges.

1.3 Study Context

The Phoenix Active Management Area (AMA) offers a critical context for examining the management of the Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus in an urban setting, especially given its reliance on external water sources such as the Colorado River and the Central Arizona Project (CAP). As the fifth largest city in the United States, Phoenix showcases a complex interplay between urban growth, resource demand, and sustainability challenges, which are exacerbated by its semi-arid climate and rapid population increase (Bolin et al., 2010; Larson, et al., 2013). Water management is a pivotal concern in Phoenix AMA due to the area's inherent water scarcity issues. The region primarily depends on the Colorado River for its water supply, channeled through the Central Arizona Project (CAP), a major provider of Colorado River water to central and southern Arizona (Sampson et al., 2011). This dependency underscores the need for robust water management strategies that include conservation, advanced water reuse technologies, and sustainable groundwater usage to ensure a reliable water supply in the face of climatic variability and legal challenges over water rights (Jacobs & Holway, 2004).

The nexus between water and energy is also significant in Phoenix. The city's energy production primarily relies on fossil fuels and electricity, with increasing investments in renewable energy sources to reduce the environmental impact and improve energy security (Mounir et al., 2019). This shift is crucial as it reduces the water intensity of energy production—a vital consideration given the water constraints from CAP and the broader implications of Colorado River management. Agriculture in Phoenix, influenced by water availability from CAP and the Colorado River, faces challenges from water-intensive practices. Optimizing water use in agriculture is critical for maintaining food supply chains, especially as water management becomes more complex with the ongoing negotiations and legal frameworks governing the Colorado River, which impact water allocations and availability (Guan, 2022).

Effective management of the FEW nexus in Phoenix requires integrated strategies that consider the interdependencies of these resources. It involves coordinated planning across regional and state lines to address the implications of water supply from the Colorado River and CAP, ensuring that water used for energy production and agriculture is managed sustainably. This approach not only helps Phoenix address its immediate resource management challenges but also contributes to broader regional stability and sustainability. The study of Phoenix AMA's resource management strategies, particularly in how they relate to the Colorado River and CAP, provides valuable insights into managing the FEW nexus in arid urban environments. These insights are critical for developing scalable and sustainable urban planning strategies that accommodate growth while ensuring the sustainable management of critical resources (Biswas et al., 2022; Caldwell, 2023).

2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Our research explores the dynamics of stakeholder engagement within the Phoenix Active Management Area's (AMA) Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus, focusing on objectives centered around evaluating and enhancing the management of these interconnected resources. A primary goal of our study is to rigorously assess how stakeholder workshops within the Phoenix AMA have facilitated meaningful engagement and influenced decision-making within the FEW nexus. This objective involves analyzing participant feedback and insights to understand their impact on developing sustainable management strategies. We aim to assess the workshops' effectiveness in fostering inclusive dialogue, pinpointing successes, identifying areas for improvement, and determining their overall contribution to advancing integrated resource management strategies.

Complementing our evaluative efforts, this study also seeks to integrate the outcomes of these engagement efforts to better understand the role of stakeholder participation in improving resource management within the FEW nexus. This includes a thorough review of the achievements and challenges faced during the engagement process, specifically focusing on how these experiences have influenced the management of food, energy, and water resources. By compiling insights from these participatory initiatives, we aim to highlight effective approaches that enhance the sustainability and efficiency of resource management practices in urban settings like Phoenix AMA.

Overall, our objectives are designed to provide a detailed examination of stakeholder engagement within the FEW nexus, highlighting its effectiveness in fostering sustainable and integrated resource management. Through this focused approach, we strive to contribute a deeper understanding of how

participatory engagement can significantly shape resource governance frameworks, making them more adaptive and responsive to the needs of the Phoenix AMA community.

3 METHODS

Our research methodology utilizes a robust, participatory approach to investigate the dynamics of stakeholder engagement within the Phoenix Active Management Area's (AMA) Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus. This study concentrates on understanding how these interactions shape and optimize integrated resource management strategies. By employing a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, our research is designed to capture a comprehensive array of insights. This dual-method approach allows for a thorough analysis of the participatory processes, revealing their effectiveness and impact on the governance of interconnected resources. This detailed examination aids in identifying successful strategies and potential areas for improvement in managing the complex interdependencies within the FEW nexus.

3.1 Participants Selection

To capture diverse perspectives critical to understanding the FEW nexus, we implemented a nonprobability sampling strategy. Participants were selected from a variety of sources, including university research centers, climate change-focused conferences, and specialized workshops on the water-energy nexus (J. L. Jones & White, 2021; White et al., 2017). Recognizing the importance of inclusive representation, we expanded our participant pool to include voices often marginalized in resource management discussions. This deliberate inclusion helps ensure our research encompasses a wide range of experiences and viewpoints, particularly from those most affected by inequities in resource allocation within the FEW nexus.

3.2 Data Collection and Analysis Procedures

Data collection for our study spans from 2017 to 2022, comprising a series of meticulously designed workshops supplemented by individual interviews (Jones & White, 2021; White et al., 2017; Jones & White, 2022). Initially, we conducted fifteen focus groups and fourteen semi-structured interviews, engaging stakeholders in in-depth discussions on the FEW Nexus within the Phoenix AMA. The first set of workshops was held at the ASU Decision Theater in Tempe, providing a unique, immersive environment for collaborative decision-making, equipped with advanced technology such as a 270-degree three-dimensional theater space and sophisticated video conferencing tools. With evolving circumstances, we transitioned to virtual platforms like Zoom, maintaining the participatory and interactive nature of the sessions. Each workshop commenced with a concise 10-minute introduction outlining the project's objectives and expectations, followed by a detailed 30-minute presentation from the model developers. These presentations covered the historical development of the model, the methodologies applied, and guidance on navigating various scenario simulations. These sessions served as catalysts for rich discussions, where participants were encouraged to engage deeply with the material, asking clarifying questions and sharing insights based on their professional experiences.

As the research progressed, the focus of the workshops shifted from understanding the governance dynamics within the Phoenix AMA to a critical evaluation of the integrated model. Stakeholders provided vital feedback on the model's credibility, salience, legitimacy, and practical applicability, essential for its further refinement. These workshops facilitated mutual learning and knowledge co-creation, significantly contributing to the iterative enhancement of the modeling framework. Through these collaborative efforts, the workshops not only deepened the collective understanding of the FEW nexus but also played a crucial role in the iterative improvement and practical validation of the model, ensuring its relevance and applicability in the real-world context of the Phoenix AMA.

4 RESULTS

The workshops conducted from 2017 to 2022 focusing on the Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus within the Phoenix Active Management Area (AMA) have generated pivotal insights that align closely with our research objectives. These sessions brought together a diverse array of stakeholders, enriching our understanding of the FEW nexus and its integral role in crafting sustainable management strategies.

Economic Factors and Resource Management: A significant outcome from the discussions was the recognition of the crucial role economic factors play within the FEW nexus. Stakeholders highlighted the necessity for substantial investments in renewable energy infrastructure. They extensively discussed the economic consequences of land use decisions, particularly emphasizing the trade-offs between land for agriculture and real estate development. The economic ramifications of importing food to compensate for reduced local agricultural output in the AMA were also stressed. Furthermore, discussions explored the

economic and cultural significance of water-intensive crops like alfalfa in dairy production, which underscored the complex relationships between water use, agriculture, and the regional economy.

Technological Advancements: The workshops identified technological innovation, especially in renewable energy and electric vehicles, as transformative elements within the FEW nexus. Stakeholders discussed the need for FEW systems to adapt to evolving energy profiles, including a transition towards more sustainable sources such as solar energy. The implications of these technologies on the power grid and their effects on both water and energy utilization were critically examined. The integration of electric vehicles was seen as both a challenge and an opportunity for energy demand management and grid stability, emphasizing the need for innovative solutions in energy infrastructure.

Policy Discussions: Policy-related dialogues were central to the workshops, with a strong focus on advancing decarbonization and assessing the potential impacts of federal policies on land use and agricultural practices. Stakeholders underlined the importance of developing policies that are environmentally sustainable, economically feasible, and socially equitable. Discussions around legislative measures, like the Carbon Act and strategies for transitioning from fossil fuels to cleaner electricity, were particularly prominent.

Agriculture's Role in the FEW Nexus: The role of agriculture was critically examined, especially its impact on optimizing water and energy use without sacrificing yield or economic viability. The workshops facilitated discussions on situating agricultural practices within a broader socio-economic context, advocating for policies that encourage efficient irrigation techniques and sustainable crop rotations.

Model Development and Refinement: The iterative development and refinement of an integrated model were highlighted as crucial outcomes of these workshops. The process emphasized the need to balance the model's complexity with usability, which led to the development of effective visualization tools such as Sankey diagrams. There was a continuous demand for simpler visual aids to improve communication with stakeholders who are not experts. This iterative engagement process was instrumental in enhancing the model's relevance, credibility, and practical applicability, ensuring it accurately represented the diverse perspectives of the Phoenix AMA community.

These insights lay a solid foundation for developing adaptable and flexible management strategies that can accommodate uncertainties like climate change, economic shifts, and technological advances. They underscore the importance of a holistic, integrated approach to resource management that inclusively considers diverse stakeholder perspectives, including those from underrepresented communities. This approach is essential for sustainable resource management and policymaking within the FEW nexus, highlighting the need for a collaborative governance model that merges scientific research with stakeholder engagement, ensuring that decisions are informed, inclusive, and reflective of the community's diverse needs and perspectives.

5 DISCUSSION

The series of workshops held from 2017 to 2022 focusing on the Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus within the Phoenix Active Management Area (AMA) significantly advanced our understanding of the interconnectedness and complex dynamics of these systems. The discussions were framed within the Engage INFEWS Conceptual Framework developed by Kliskey et al. (2021), which provided a structured approach to engaging a diverse group of stakeholders. This extensive collaboration facilitated a deep dive into the complexities of the FEW nexus, yielding insights crucial for formulating sustainable management strategies that align closely with our study's objectives and theoretical base.

A prominent theme emerging from the workshops was the critical influence of economic factors on the FEW nexus, specifically the need for significant investment in renewable energy infrastructure. Stakeholders discussed the broad economic implications of land use decisions, highlighting the essential trade-offs between land reserved for agriculture and other uses. This discussion emphasized the importance of strategic resource management to balance local agricultural needs with development pressures. The economic effects of food importation, crucial for understanding local versus imported food dynamics, were also extensively debated. These conversations underscored the interconnectedness of local economies with global food markets and the importance of sustainable agricultural practices within the AMA.

Technological advancements, particularly in renewable energy and electric vehicles, were identified as transformative elements reshaping the FEW nexus. Discussions underscored the imperative for FEW systems to adapt to evolving energy profiles, such as the shift toward solar energy and other renewables. The integration of electric vehicles was examined for its dual role as a challenge and an

opportunity in managing energy demands and enhancing grid stability. These technological dialogues highlighted the necessity for continual adaptation of infrastructure and policies to accommodate rapid advancements in technology that impact resource management.

Policy-related dialogues were integral to the workshops, focusing on the transition towards decarbonization and assessing the impacts of federal and local policies on land use and agricultural practices. Stakeholders debated policies that aim to balance environmental sustainability with economic growth and social equity, illustrating the complex policy landscape that governs resource management. The discussion often centered on legislative measures such as the Carbon Act and strategies for reducing reliance on fossil fuels, highlighting the need for policies that support sustainable practices across the FEW nexus.

The role of agriculture within the FEW nexus was thoroughly explored, particularly its impact on optimizing water and energy use without sacrificing yield or economic viability. The discussions promoted efficient irrigation techniques and sustainable cropping options as essential strategies for enhancing agricultural sustainability. These conversations acknowledged the critical need to view agricultural practices through a socio-economic lens, ensuring that solutions are practical, sustainable, and beneficial to all stakeholders involved.

A significant outcome of the workshops was the iterative development and refinement of an integrated model designed to address the FEW nexus challenges. This process highlighted the importance of balancing model complexity with usability, ensuring that the tools developed are accessible to both experts and non-experts. Feedback from stakeholders was instrumental in refining the model's design, emphasizing the need for clear visualization tools and user-friendly interfaces to facilitate broader engagement and understanding.

The insights derived from these workshops have established a robust foundation for developing adaptable and flexible management strategies, poised to address future uncertainties such as climate change, economic shifts, and technological advancements. The discussions underscored the need for a holistic, integrated resource management approach that inclusively considers diverse stakeholder perspectives. This approach is crucial for ensuring sustainable resource management and effective policymaking within the FEW nexus, highlighting the necessity of collaborative governance models that merge scientific research with stakeholder engagement.

In conclusion, the workshop series validated the effectiveness of the Engage INFEWS Conceptual Framework in fostering meaningful stakeholder engagement within the FEW nexus. This collaborative effort has significantly enriched our understanding of the nexus, emphasizing the importance of informed, inclusive decision-making. The knowledge and experiences gained are invaluable, promising to guide the development of future policies, technological innovations, and sustainable resource management practices in Phoenix AMA and potentially beyond. This work marks a substantial stride towards enhancing the sustainability of resource management practices, ultimately contributing to the broader discourse on sustainable urban development.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The exploration and analysis conducted within the Phoenix Active Management Area (AMA) through numerous stakeholder workshops from 2017 to 2022 have culminated in a comprehensive understanding of the Food-Energy-Water (FEW) nexus. This study has not only illuminated the complex interdependencies within the nexus but also underscored the critical need for integrated resource management strategies that are sustainable, adaptable, and responsive to the needs of a rapidly urbanizing region. The convergence of insights from diverse stakeholders has enabled a multifaceted approach to addressing the challenges and opportunities within the FEW nexus, particularly in the context of Phoenix's unique environmental and socioeconomic landscape.

The discussions highlighted throughout the workshops emphasized the pivotal role of economic factors in shaping the FEW nexus. Stakeholders identified the need for substantial investment in renewable energy infrastructure and deliberated on the economic implications of land use decisions. These discussions pointed to the essential trade-offs required between agricultural land preservation and urban development, stressing the importance of strategic resource management. The economic ramifications of importing food, which can offset local production deficits, were critically examined, highlighting the broader implications for Phoenix's economy and food security.

Technological advancements emerged as a key theme, particularly the impact of renewable energy sources and electric vehicles on the FEW nexus. These technologies were recognized not only for their potential to transform energy profiles but also for their capacity to influence water and energy use significantly. The integration of such technologies necessitates adaptive infrastructure and policy frameworks that can accommodate rapid changes while ensuring the stability and sustainability of resource systems.

The workshops provided a platform for robust policy discussions, focusing on the transition towards decarbonization and the impacts of federal and local policies on land use and agricultural practices. These dialogues underscored the necessity for policies that are environmentally sustainable, economically feasible, and socially equitable. The formulation of such policies requires a nuanced understanding of the interplays within the FEW nexus, ensuring that governance frameworks can effectively respond to both current needs and future uncertainties.

Agriculture's role within the FEW nexus was thoroughly scrutinized, with particular emphasis on optimizing water and energy use without compromising agricultural output or economic viability. The discussions advocated for efficient irrigation methods and sustainable cropping patterns, which are essential in arid regions like Phoenix. These strategies are crucial for enhancing agricultural sustainability while reducing the nexus's overall environmental footprint.

One of the central achievements of this research was the iterative development and refinement of an integrated model tailored to the FEW nexus challenges specific to Phoenix AMA. This model, enhanced through continuous stakeholder feedback, exemplifies the importance of aligning technical solutions with user-friendly interfaces to facilitate broader understanding and engagement. The model's evolution highlights the dynamic nature of nexus management and the need for tools that can adapt to changing conditions and incorporate diverse perspectives.

The comprehensive insights garnered from this study lay a robust foundation for developing flexible management strategies that can navigate the complexities of climate change, technological evolution, and economic shifts. These strategies underscore the importance of a holistic approach to resource management that incorporates diverse stakeholder perspectives and fosters collaborative governance. Such an approach is crucial not only for sustainable resource management within the Phoenix AMA but also as a model for other urban areas facing similar nexus challenges.

In conclusion, the Engage INFEWS Conceptual Framework has proven effective in steering complex stakeholder engagements and enriching the discourse on sustainable urban resource management. The collaborative efforts facilitated by this framework have profoundly enhanced our understanding of the FEW nexus, ensuring that decision-making is informed, inclusive, and reflective of a broad spectrum of community needs and aspirations. The findings and methodologies applied in this study are poised to influence future policy formulations, technological advancements, and resource management practices, marking a significant contribution to the sustainability of urban environments like Phoenix AMA and beyond. This endeavor not only addresses the immediate challenges faced by the Phoenix AMA but also contributes valuable lessons for globally applicable sustainable resource management strategies.

7 REFERENCES

- Allouche, J., Middleton, C., & Gyawali, D. (2019). *The water-food-energy nexus: Power, politics and justice*. Routledge.
- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2007). Collaborative Governance in Theory and Practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543–571. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum032>
- Basco-Carrera, L., Meijers, E., Sarisoy, H. D., Şanlı, N. O., Coşkun, S., Oliemans, W., Van Beek, E., Karaaslan, Y., & Jonoski, A. (2018). An adapted companion modelling approach for enhancing multi-stakeholder cooperation in complex river basins. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 25(8), 747–764. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2018.1445668>
- Bazilian, M., Rogner, H., Howells, M., Hermann, S., Arent, D., Gielen, D., Steduto, P., Mueller, A., Komor, P., Tol, R. S. J., & Yumkella, K. K. (2011). Considering the energy, water and food nexus: Towards an integrated modelling approach. *Energy Policy*, 39(12), 7896–7906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.09.039>
- Biswas, S., Echevarria, A., Irshad, N., Rivera-Matos, Y., Richter, J., Chhetri, N., Parmentier, M. J., & Miller, C. A. (2022). Ending the Energy-Poverty Nexus: An Ethical Imperative for Just Transitions. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 28(4), 36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-022-00383-4>

Bolin, B., Seetharam, M., & Pompeii, B. (2010). Water resources, climate change, and urban vulnerability: A case study of Phoenix, Arizona. *Local Environment*, 15(3), 261–279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549830903575604>

Botai, J. O., Botai, C. M., Ncongwane, K. P., Mpandeli, S., Nhamo, L., Masinde, M., Adeola, A. M., Mengistu, M. G., Tazvinga, H., Murambadoro, M. D., Lottering, S., Motochi, I., Hayombe, P., Zwane, N. N., Wamiti, E. K., & Mabhaudhi, T. (2021). A Review of the Water–Energy–Food Nexus Research in Africa. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1762. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041762>

Butler, C., & Adamowski, J. (2015). Empowering marginalized communities in water resources management: Addressing inequitable practices in Participatory Model Building. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 153, 153–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.02.010>

Caldwell, R. (2023). Understanding Limited Food Access in Mohave County, Arizona: Influencing Factors, Current Realities, and Potential Solutions [PhD Thesis]. Northern Arizona University.

Cansino-Loeza, B., Munguía-López, A. D. C., & Ponce-Ortega, J. M. (2022). A water-energy-food security nexus framework based on optimal resource allocation. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 133, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2022.03.006>

Dilling, L., & Lemos, M. C. (2011). Creating usable science: Opportunities and constraints for climate knowledge use and their implications for science policy. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(2), 680–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2010.11.006>

Guan, X. (2022). Defining a Roadmap Towards a More Sustainable Food-Energy-Water (FEW) Nexus in the Phoenix Metropolitan Region Through Integrated Modeling [PhD Thesis]. Arizona State University.

Jacobs, K. L., & Holway, J. M. (2004). Managing for sustainability in an arid climate: Lessons learned from 20 years of groundwater management in Arizona, USA. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 12(1), 52–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-003-0308-y>

Jones, J. L., & White, D. D. (2021). A social network analysis of collaborative governance for the food-energy-water nexus in Phoenix, AZ, USA. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, 11(4), 671–681. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13412-021-00676-3>

Jones, J. L., & White, D. D. (2022). Understanding barriers to collaborative governance for the food-energy-water nexus: The case of Phoenix, Arizona. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 127, 111–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2021.10.025>

Kliskey, A., Williams, P., Griffith, D. L., Dale, V. H., Schelly, C., Marshall, A.-M., Gagnon, V. S., Eaton, W. M., & Floress, K. (2021). Thinking Big and Thinking Small: A Conceptual Framework for Best Practices in Community and Stakeholder Engagement in Food, Energy, and Water Systems. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 2160. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042160>

Kotir, J. H., Brown, G., Marshall, N., & Johnstone, R. (2017). Systemic feedback modelling for sustainable water resources management and agricultural development: An application of participatory modelling approach in the Volta River Basin. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 88, 106–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.11.015>

Larson, K. L., White, D., Gober, P., Kirkwood, C., Smith, V., Nelson, M., Redman, C., & Wittlinger, S. (2013). Advancing Science in Support of Water Policy and Urban Climate Change Adaptation at Arizona State University's Decision Center for a Desert City: A Synthesis of Interdisciplinary Research on Climate, Water, and Decision-Making Under Uncertainty. Decision Center for a Desert City, Arizona State University: Tempe, AZ, USA.

Larson, K. L., Wiek, A., & Withycombe Keeler, L. (2013). A comprehensive sustainability appraisal of water governance in Phoenix, AZ. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 116, 58–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.11.016>

Larson, K., White, D., Gober, P., & Wutich, A. (2015). Decision-Making under Uncertainty for Water Sustainability and Urban Climate Change Adaptation. *Sustainability*, 7(11), 14761–14784. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su71114761>

Melloni, G., Turetta, A., Bonatti, M., & Sieber, S. (2020). A Stakeholder Analysis for a Water-Energy-Food Nexus Evaluation in an Atlantic Forest Area: Implications for an Integrated Assessment and a Participatory Approach. *Water*, 12(7), 1977. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12071977>

Mounir, A., Mascaro, G., & White, D. D. (2019). A metropolitan scale analysis of the impacts of future electricity mix alternatives on the water-energy nexus. *Applied Energy*, 256, 113870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113870>

- Olawuyi, D. (2020). Sustainable development and the water-energy-food nexus: Legal challenges and emerging solutions. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 103, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2019.10.009>
- Pahl-Wostl, C. (2019). Governance of the water-energy-food security nexus: A multi-level coordination challenge. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 92, 356–367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.07.017>
- Rasul, G., & Sharma, B. (2016). The nexus approach to water–energy–food security: An option for adaptation to climate change. *Climate Policy*, 16(6), 682–702. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2015.1029865>
- Reed, M. S., Graves, A., Dandy, N., Posthumus, H., Hubacek, K., Morris, J., Prell, C., Quinn, C. H., & Stringer, L. C. (2009). Who's in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis methods for natural resource management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(5), 1933–1949. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.01.001>
- Sampson, D. A., Escobar, V., Tschudi, M. K., Lant, T., & Gober, P. (2011). A provider-based water planning and management model – WaterSim 4.0 – For the Phoenix Metropolitan Area. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 92(10), 2596–2610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.05.032>
- Schulterbrandt Gragg, R., Anandhi, A., Jiru, M., & Usher, K. M. (2018). A Conceptualization of the Urban Food-Energy-Water Nexus Sustainability Paradigm: Modeling From Theory to Practice. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 6, 133. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00133>
- Urbinnati, A. M., Benites-Lazaro, L. L., Carvalho, C. M. D., & Giatti, L. L. (2020). The conceptual basis of water-energy-food nexus governance: Systematic literature review using network and discourse analysis. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences*, 17(2), 21–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1943815X.2020.1749086>
- Voinov, A., Kolagani, N., McCall, M. K., Glynn, P. D., Kragt, M. E., Ostermann, F. O., Pierce, S. A., & Ramu, P. (2016). Modelling with stakeholders – Next generation. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 77, 196–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.11.016>
- Zhang, P., Zhang, L., Chang, Y., Xu, M., Hao, Y., Liang, S., Liu, G., Yang, Z., & Wang, C. (2019). Food-energy-water (FEW) nexus for urban sustainability: A comprehensive review. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 142, 215–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.11.018>