

Agenda Overview

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. Purpose and Goals of Roundtable
- 3. Background and Context
- 4. Overview of Identified Options
- 5. Round Table Discussion
- 6. Next Steps and Timeline
- 7. Call to the Audience



Purpose and Goals

- Outline issues, approaches and options regarding a policy for consideration by the CAWCD Board to address the collection of a Fixed OM&R equivalency charge for System Conservation Projects with CAP water
- Gather CAP customer and stakeholder input for consideration in the development of the policy proposal
- Staff are providing background, context and initial approaches and seek additional ideas and input through this roundtable



Nature of CAP Water Delivery Contracts/subcontracts

- CAP contracts/subcontracts are for the delivery of CAP Project
 Water
- Customers exercise their contract through the annual water ordering process
- Water that is unordered is available to the next priority pool for delivery
- Customers are required to pay Fixed OM&R charges on all water scheduled for delivery
- CAWCD determines the Fixed OM&R charge by dividing the estimated Fixed OM&R costs by the estimated amount of Project
 Water to be delivered

Key Elements to Consider

- 1. System Conservation Projects require a reduction in an existing beneficial consumptive us and a persistent history of use.
- 2. To conserve CAP Project Water, the water must be ordered for use, and then the order amended to reflect the reduction in intended beneficial use
- 3. CAWCD must agree to forgo remarketing the conserved water to the water uses in junior priority pools who pay the Fixed OM&R charge on the remarketed water
- 4. Forgoing remarketing the conserved water will result in an increase in Fixed OM&R charge on CAP rate payers, unless a Fixed OM&R equivalency fee is collected

Policy Question

Who should pay the Fixed OM&R equivalency fee for System Conservation Projects with CAP Project Water?



Three Approaches

Contractor/subcontractor pays

- fixed OM&R Equivalency Charge equal to the amount of the Fixed OM&R
 Charge that would have been paid for the water had it been delivered
- avoids increased costs to all other CAP users

Funder pays

- fixed OM&R Equivalency Charge equal to the amount of the Fixed OM&R
 Charge that would have been paid for the water had it been delivered
- avoids increased costs to all other CAP users

All CAP Users Contribute

- no Fixed OM&R equivalency charge will be assessed
- CAP users will bear the incremental increased costs



Additional Considerations

- Staff recommend policy be coterminous with the LBDCP agreements, i.e. through 2026
- Should we consider volume limitations for System Conservation
 Projects at different operating conditions?
- Is there sensitivity to the range of impacts resulting from System Conservation Projects?



Next Steps and Timeline

- Collect input from this meeting
- February Board Meeting Informational briefing on the Round Table Discussion
- February FAP Committee Meeting Informational briefing on draft Policy Proposal
- March Board Meeting Consideration for action on Policy Proposal

